UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA FORT WAYNE DIVISION

UNIT	ED STA	TES OF	AMERICA,)	CAUSE NO.:	1:19-CR-100			
)	Hearing of:	12/22/2020			
vs.)))	CHARGE:	Violation of Conditions of Pre-Trial Release; also Wire Fraud per 18 U.S.C. 1343			
BRIA	N NORI Defen)					
	Determ	dant.	opp.) ·					
			ORDI	ER OF D	ETENTION				
concli			ing a Detention Hearin ets require that the defe			et, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I trial.			
	(1)	Part I—Findings of Fact The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § $3142(f)(1)$ and has previously been convicted of \square a federal offense \square a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had existed - that is							
			U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)) for whic	h the prison term				
			r						
			a felony committed a federal offenses descor local offenses: any felony that is not a mi the pother	eribed in 1 t a crime of nor victin cossession r dangero	18 U.S.C. § 3142(of violence but in	m or destructive device or any			
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.							
	(3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since the \square date of conviction \square the defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).							
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.							

¹ Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Alternative Findings (A)

(1)	is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense					
		for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:				
		☐ The Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C §801 et. seq.); or ☐ The Controlled Substances Import And Export Act (21 U.S.C. §951 et. seq.); or				
		The Maritime Drug Law Enforcement Act (46 U.S.C. App. §1901 et. seq.); or				
		involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425; or				
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), §956(a), or 18 U.S.C. §2332b				
(2)	conditi	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.				
		Alternative Findings (B)				
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.					
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.					
		Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention				
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the Detention Hearing establishes by a preponderance of the evidence that there is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear at a future court hearing in this case, and there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed to assure his or her court appearance in this case in the future, because:						
	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)	Of the nature and circumstances of the offenses charged; The weight of the evidence against the defendant; On-going mental health issues; History of substance abuse; Criminal activity while subject to court supervision; Multiple violations of conditions of his pre-trial release in this case.				

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the Detention Hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence that to release the defendant on pre-trial release will endanger the safety of another person, or the safety of the community in general, and there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed to assure the safety of the other person or the safety of the community in general, because:

USDC IN/ND case 1:19-cr-00100-DRL-SLC document 124 filed 12/22/20 page 3 of 3

- (1) Of the nature and circumstances of the offenses charged;
- (2) The weight of the evidence against the defendant;
- (3) On-going mental health issues;
- (4) History of substance abuse;
- (5) Criminal activity while subject to court supervision;
- (6) Multiple violations of conditions of his pre-trial release in this case.
- At the Detention Hearing the defendant stipulated to the serious risk that he or she would not appear for further court hearings in this case and to the serious risk of danger to the safety of another person or to the community in general if he or she would be released.
- There is reserved for the defendant the right to later petition the Court, through his or her attorney, to belatedly contest the detention issue.

The reasons for these findings include the contents of the Pre-Trial Services Report in this case.

Part III—Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of a United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for a court appearance.

Entered this 22nd day of December, 2020.

/s / Paul R. Cherry
PAUL R. CHERRY
MAGISTRATE JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

AO Form 472 (Rev. 09/08) (PRC Modified 02/16)